Issue 25

February 29th 2016

Vive Charlie!

Online Satirical Magazine Supporting Freedom Of Expression



Vive Charlie was Created in Tribute to the Victims of the Charlie Hebdo Massacre



REST IN PEACE

Stephane Charbonnier
Jean Cabut
Bernard Verlhac
Georges Wolinski
Philippe Honore
Elsa Cayat
Bernard Maris
Michel Renaud
Mustapha Ourrad
Ahmed Merabet
Frederic Boisseau
Franck Brinsolaro

Vive Charlie TV

Calais 'jungle' bulldozers to start work on Middlesbrough	Bacon works on Muslims like garlic on vampires, claims racist
George Osborne proposes "oxygen tax" to aid economic recovery	Cocaine priest congratulated for lack of kiddy fiddling
Robert Mugabe, Katie Hopkins and Sepp Blatter all join 'Out' campaign	Man fails to change opinion despite being called 'stupid' on the Internet
Work Capability Assessment finds Iain Duncan Smith 'unfit for office'	Calais 'Jungle' evictees facing televised interview with Emma Willis
"Stressed" Iain Duncan Smith found screaming "fit for work" at gravestones	Extremists allowed to leave UK to ease home terror threat

RIP Twitter

The Begining of the End For Free Speech

Fascists to the right of me Regressives to the left

Freedom of Expression is under attack from all sides

"Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers."

Sounds like a very sensible statement and is quite rightly enshrined in the United Nations Declaration of Human Rights. In fact, it's article 19.

It seems however, these rights do not fit with the agenda of some. Fascists with AK47s execute people for drawing Mohammed cartoons and lash people in public for daring to be atheist, whilst Regressives scream and shout until the social media platform shuts them down; the police arrest them; or the SJW lynch mob turns up if anyone dares to offend their equality fragile sense of what's right and wrong. What's worse is both groups think they're right, totally oblivious to the fact they are actually infringing on the rights set out in article 19.

We've looked long and hard for the article covering one's human right 'not to be offended', but either our researchers need firing or they simply don't exist.

So if you're a gun wielding Fascist or a keyboard wielding Regressive on your high horse, you are as equally deserving of the ridicule and scorn of millions of like minded people that think you're fucking cretins.

Old Holborn

There are 60million of us but only 646 of them

Britain's Vilest Troll - Daily Mail. Award winning Columnist, Satirist, Libertarian legend. Champion of Free Speech and a Free Society.

To the Gates of Vienna Once Again

As I sit and write this column, a meeting is taking place in Vienna that, in my opinion, will change the face of Europe for the foreseeable future. Sitting down at the table are:

Austria, Albania, Bosnia, Croatia, Kosovo, Macedonia, Montenegro, Serbia and Slovenia.

The topic of discussion has never been more serious for those involved. Following Merkel's catastrophic decision to invite the 3rd world to free-everything in Europe and following a summer that saw over a million fit, young, single men invade Europe through Greece and Italy, the door is being slammed shut as useless politicians finally realise that the stream of largely unemployable, unskilled and culturally backward invaders is not going to stop. Germany is simply unable to process the hordes that have arrived, let alone assimilate or integrate them into one of the most ordered, law abiding and highly regulated societies in the world. Of the 200,000 asylum applications Germany managed to process in 2015 (from over a million arrivees) it turns out 179,000 have been rejected – and now Germany has realised that these people are not simply going to leave of their own accord. In the meantime, over 100,000 new hopefuls have landed on the shores of Greece this year alone and are heading northwards to take their chances at owning a Mercedes and enjoying the beer halls of Munich and Berlin. Instructions have been sent by Merkel to "store and process" these wanderlings in large military run camps in Greece and slam the borders shut at the first possible opportunity whilst handing the brutal regime of Turkey's Erdogan as much money and favours as he demands in the faint hope he'll dissuade the millions more waiting not to bother. Greece can reckon with a million angry young Arab men in camps this year alone, from document-less Syrians to Turkish Roma to Bangladeshis hoping to grab an EU passport and citizenship.

This shows either a blatant disregard for the Arab mindset or a wilful deception by the politicians of the EU as to the agenda of those coming. Yes, there is war in the Middle East – there has always been war in the Middle East – and arms sales, coupled with our stubborn colonialist and imperialist pride have simply exacerbated the fighting and slaughter. Instead of realising that Arabs simply do not trust anyone outside their own family or tribe, and that

family or tribe, and that Arab "nations" are merely convenient ways for dictators to control a persistently feuding confederation of every flavour of religion under the Middle Eastern sun, our pathetic unelected masters in Brussels are simply unable to comprehend that most of the planet does not share their culture or political ambitions for "social justice, equality and harmony". If history teaches us anything, it's that humans, when given the opportunity, will slaughter, plunder and enslave any other humans that stand in their way. The sight of flag waving Afghan young men at the closed Macedonian border yesterday, demanding borders be removed is not "social justice, globalisation & equality" in action, it's an invasion of another culture who, in turn, will demand that we assimilate to theirs – ask your ancestors if in doubt.

So once again, we will have to rely on the Gates of Vienna to turn back the hordes and protect our cultures from an invading one. Mark my words, this will have long lasting effects on the European Union as a whole, the Euro as a currency and the great deluded vision of Brussels demagogues that

great deluded vision of Brussels demagogues that somehow "we are all the same".

All progress made by the West was achieved when the common people threw off the power held over them by either organised religion or feudal masters, in the hope it would increase their wealth – it did. The West are responsible for modern medicine, technology and industry because it makes the ordinary man wealthy and free. The current invaders of Europe are simply intent to help themselves to the wealth without throwing off the yokes that kept them in grinding poverty and idealogical slavery in the first place – an unquestioning devotion to feudal masters and to Mecca.

I wish the new "Balkan Alliance" and indeed the old Austro-Hungarian Empire the very best of luck in stopping the advance of both the unelectable and unaccountable European Union and the new Arab invasion. One thing is for sure. In ten years time, Europe is going to be a very different place than any of us ever expected and certainly anyone

GATES OF VIENNA
Vhat do you mean I'm not invited?
You're about as welcome as Hitler at a bar mitzvah

TRUMP -V- POPE

The Pope had a pop at Donald Trump by claiming building walls was not the Christian thing to do

Facebook's War on Freedom of Speech

By Douglas Murray

Associate Director of the Henry Jackson Society, author and media commentator

@DouglasKMurray henryjacksonsociety.org

Facebook is now removing speech that presumably almost everybody might decide is racist -- along with speech that only someone at Facebook decides is "racist."

The sinister reality of a society in which the expression of majority opinion is being turned into a crime has already been seen across Europe. Just last week came reports of Dutch citizens being visited by the police and warned about posting anti-mass-immigration sentiments on social media.

In lieu of violence, speech is one of the best ways for people to vent their feelings and frustrations. Remove the right to speak about your frustrations and only violence is left.

The lid is being put on the pressure cooker at precisely the moment that the heat is being turned up. A true "initiative for civil courage" would explain to both Merkel and Zuckerberg that their policy can have only one possible result.

It was only a few weeks ago that Facebook was forced to back down when caught permitting anti-Israel postings, but censoring equivalent anti-Palestinian postings.

Now one of the most sinister stories of the past year was hardly even reported. In September, German Chancellor Angela Merkel met Mark Zuckerberg of Facebook at a UN development summit in New York. As they sat down, Chancellor Merkel's microphone, still on, recorded Merkel asking Zuckerberg what could be done to stop anti-immigration postings being written on Facebook. She asked if it was something he was working on, and he assured her it was.

At the time, perhaps the most revealing aspect of this exchange was that the German Chancellor -- at the very moment that her country was going through one of the most significant events in its post-war history -- should have been spending any time worrying about how to stop public dislike

of her policies being vented on social media. But now it appears that the discussion yielded consequential results.

Last month, Facebook launched what it called an "Initiative for civil courage online," the aim of which, it claims, is to remove "hate speech" from Facebook -- specifically by removing comments that "promote xenophobia." Facebook is working with a unit of the publisher Bertelsmann, which aims to identify and then erase "racist" posts from the site. The work is intended particularly to focus on Facebook users in Germany. At the launch of the new initiative, Facebook's chief operating officer, Sheryl Sandberg, explained that, "Hate speech has no place in our society -- not even on the internet." She went to say that, "Facebook is not a place for the dissemination of hate speech or incitement to violence." Of course, Facebook can do what it likes on its own website. What is troubling is what this organization of effort and muddled thinking reveals about what is going on in Europe.

and is a huge event in its history. As events in Paris, Cologne and Sweden have shown, it is also by no means a series of events only with positive connotations.

As well as being fearful of the security implications of allowing in millions of people whose identities, beliefs and intentions are unknown and -- in such large numbers -- unknowable, many Europeans are deeply concerned that this movement heralds an irreversible alteration in the fabric of their society. Many Europeans do not want to become a melting pot for the Middle East and Africa, but want to retain something of their own identities and traditions. Apparently, it is not just a minority who feel concern about this. Poll after poll shows a significant majority of the public in each and every European country opposed to immigration at anything like the current rate.

The sinister thing about what Facebook is doing is that it is now removing speech that presumably almost everybody might consider racist -- along with speech that only someone at Facebook decides is "racist."

And it just so happens to turn out that, lo and behold, this idea of "racist" speech appears to include anything critical of the EU's current catastrophic immigration policy.

By deciding that "xenophobic" comment in reaction to the crisis is also "racist," Facebook has made the view of the majority of the European people (who, it must be stressed, are opposed to Chancellor Merkel's policies) into "racist" views, and so is condemning the majority of Europeans as "racist." This is a policy that will do its part in pushing Europe into a disastrous future.

Because even if some of the speech Facebook is so scared of is in some way "xenophobic," there are deep questions as to why such speech should be banned. In lieu of violence, speech is one of the best ways for people to vent their feelings and frustrations. Remove the right to speak about your frustrations, and only violence is left. Weimar Germany -- to give just one example -- was replete with hate-speech laws intended to limit speech the state did not like. These laws did nothing whatsoever to limit the rise of extremism; it only made martyrs out of those it pursued, and persuaded an even larger number of people that the time for talking was over.

The sinister reality of a society in which the expression of majority opinion is being turned into a crime has already been seen across Europe. Just last week, reports from the Netherlands told of Dutch citizens being visited by the police and warned about posting anti-mass-immigration sentiments on Twitter and other social media.

In this toxic mix, Facebook has now -- knowingly or unknowingly -- played its part. The lid is being put on the pressure cooker at precisely the moment that the heat is being turned up. A true "initiative for civil courage" would explain to both Merkel and Zuckerberg that their policy can have only one possible result.



SPEECH WARS

Episode 21. Moe the Merciless and his even darker side of the force are becoming concerned that exposure of their invasion by the Secular Alliance is hampering their efforts to flood enemy territory with fighters. Urgent action is needed and Moe must now recruit a new brand of Useful Idiot to demonise anyone jeopardising his plans. Only time will tell if he will prevail…




The pesky Secular Alliance is gaining popular support for opposing our invasion of infidel lands. I need you to recruit a new breed of Useful Idiots to thwart their progress. As usual we will invest 30 billion pieces of silver in advertising and buying shares in your evil media empire
Yes Master


Assuming you Dhimmi droids want favourable press about appointing your new judge and your EU referendum and Frau Merkel wants a positive spin on your disastrous immigration policy I suggest you all get to work recruiting a new breed of Useful Idiot, ensuring we silence the voices of those sympathetic to the Secular Alliance
Yes Master
Yes Master
Yes Master

I need you to start shutting down Facebook accounts that criticise my secret pact with Moe the Merciless to flood our lands vith battle hardened Jihadists hell bent on our destruction
But why would you want to do this Frau Merkel? Surely you are inviting civil unrest and the destruction of your own culture?
Just do as you're told you little shit veasel. If you must know I still feel a bit guilty about our forefathers gassing loads of your Juden ancestors, so to make up for it I'm going to destroy Germany
Well... OK. I suppose I can help you. After all you did use my Facebook platform to invite Moe's barbarians in the first place.
1 Hacker
facebook
JE SUIS CHARLIE


Look here Jack, if you want to keep your platform you need to review this ridiculous idea of freedom of expression. Yes, it was a good idea to start with, but we need to treat the masses like mushrooms – keep them in the dark and feed them shit, controlling what they see, think and say
Our founding principle is free speech. It's why we became such a global phenomenon. People were pissed off by the controlled media feeding them bullshit and we gave them a way of exercising their freedom of expression to expose the truth
Yes, yes, yes, that's all very well young man, but it's got to stop. Just appoint some SJWs and Feminazis to police what people can and can't say. This way you've got someone to blame when your share price goes to shit
That's a great idea, I'll sell them some bullshit that free speech begins with safety


Meanwhile in their volcano lair rented from Dr Evil
Ok so it's agreed, we implement new rules that allow us to censor and ban people guilty of anything considered racist and hate speech
Yes, but don't forget, we first need to redefine what those words mean. The new meaning for racist and hate speech is basically anything that criticises Moe the Merciless flooding infidel lands with jihadists
JE SUIS CHARLIE
We'll need to get feedback and input from outsiders, but only adopt the suggestions that fit what we already want
Yeah! Great idea. Most of the people I have in mind are virtue signalling cretins who'll love this notion they're opinion is valued - LOL

That's the first stage of our feminazi utopia in place
OK team, we're going to implement some of your suggestions straight away. It won't be long now before we eliminate all opposing ideas and opinions to create a regressive censored utopia we can all be proud of.

Have you heard the latest news? The voices of the Secular Alliance are being blatantly censored by the regressives sympathetic to the invasion by Moe the Merciless and his barbarians
We have to be able to debate these issues. Allowing all these battle hardened Jihadists in is only going to make our societies less tolerant and more dangerous. If our freedom of expression is removed, the only thing remaining is to take action, which is a dangerous path to take
Bigot

Vive Charlie TV
BANNED
Following the new 1984 style censorship by Facebook and Twitter, many prominent commentators, critical of the invasion of barbarians loyal to Moe the Merciless, are being silenced by either being shut down or shadow banned.


Well done my little dhimmis, with your help I predict it won't be long before we impose blasphemy laws through infidel land and thereafter throughout the galaxy. All you need to do now is convert to Islam and your journey to the even darker side of the force will be complete. Or you can just choose not to and I'll behead you all mwahahaha

THE NOTHING-TO-DO-WITH-ISLAM TEST

By Michael A. Sherlock
Atheist author - Founder, Human Rights for Atheists, Agnostics & Secularists
@sherlockmichael michaelsherlock

I've devised this simple test to help people determine whether or not a given atrocity committed by Islamists has anything to do with the religion of Islam. You needn't satisfy each and every limb of this test to rationally associate an atrocity with Islam, but the more limbs you satisfy, the more justified the association will be. Also, given the theological structure of the Islamic religion, satisfying the first limb will carry more weight than satisfying the second or third limbs.

The Nothing-To-Do-With-Islam Test:

1. Can you locate justification for the atrocity (behaviour) in the Qur'an (central pillar of the religion)?
2. Can you locate justification for the atrocity (behaviour) in the Sunnah (biography of Muhammad) and/or the hadith?
3. Can you locate justification for the atrocity (behaviour) in Islamic history and/or Islamic Jurisprudence (common practice/tradition)?

Testing the Test – Female Captives and Rape

When the Islamic leader of ISIS was reported to have raped Syrian captives, members of the Regressive Left took to Twitter to distance the religion of Islam from this heinous atrocity.

Here is what the exposed plagiarist C.J. Werleman had to say:

Okay, so let's test his claim by applying the test.

First Limb: The Qur'an (Primary Pillar of Islam)

From the Qur'an (Yusuf Ali Trans.) we read:

Qur'an 23:1-6: The Believers must (eventually) win through—those who……abstain from sex, except with those joined to them in the marriage bond, or (the captives) whom their right hands possess—for (in their case) they are free from blame.

These verses (ayah) clearly relieve Muslim men of blame for raping captives of war, and sex slaves (those whom their right hands possess) purchased or acquired by other means. This teaching is reiterated:

Qur'an 33:50: O Prophet! We have made lawful to thee thy wives to whom thou hast paid their dowers; and those whom thy right hand possesses out of the prisoners of war whom Allah has assigned to thee…

And again:
Qur'an 70:22-30: Not so those devoted to Prayer—those who remain steadfast to their prayer….. and those who guard their chastity, except with their wives and the (captives) whom their right hands possess—for (then) they are not to be blamed.

This first limb has certainly been satisfied. Remember, the claim being tested is that Abu Bakr al-Baghdadi's rape of Syrian captives has nothing to do with Islam. We could rest the test here, but before moving on to examine this claim under the remaining two limbs of the test, I'll pre-emptively refute an anticipated apology.

The Historical Context Apology

In his dramatic failure of a book Koran Curious, C.J. Werleman lays the following charge against Sam Harris:

“He [Sam Harris] quotes numerous verses including: “Never think that those who were slain in the cause of God are dead. They are alive, and well provided for by their Lord; pleased with his gifts and rejoicing that those they left behind, who have not yet joined them, have nothing to fear or to regret. God will not deny the faithful their reward.” [3:169] Now, in isolation we can use this against Islam, as Muhammad appears to be pronouncing an endorsement for martyrdom, carte blanche. What Harris doesn’t say, however, is that Muhammad recited this particular verse in the days prior to facing annihilation, because he had learned the Meccans were planning on laying siege on Medina with the purpose of finishing off the Muslims once and for all. Essentially, Muhammad had to raise the will of his men to defend the city at all costs, for the Quraysh of Mecca intended to execute every Muslim man, woman, and child should the city’s defenses fall. Moreover, he would never have envisaged that 1,500 years later, men would read this verse and use it for justification to hijack a jetliner and fly it into a building filled with innocent people.’[1]

The historical context apology is a frequently employed piece of apologia that attempts to shield the religion of Islam from justified criticism, yet it fails on two substantial and related grounds:

1. The Qur’an is neither Diodorus Siculus’ Library of History nor Edward Gibbon’s The Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire – that is to say, it is not a history book. It may contain some historical data but its purpose and function is to serve as a set of religious laws applicable to all Muslims until “Judgment Day”. This brings us to the second ground upon which the historical context apology fails.

2. The rules and precedents established in the Qur’an are not confined to a specific period in history in terms of their application. If you don’t believe me, read the Qur’an!

The Qur’an is perfectly clear and completely applicable:

‘Alif lām rā’: God knows best what He means by these [letters]; this is, a Book whose verses have been set clear, through marvellous arrangement and wonderful meanings, and then detailed, expounded, through rulings, stories and admonitions, from One Wise, Informed, that is, [from] God’. **~Qur’an 11:1 (Tafsīr Jalālayn)**

‘These are the symbols [or Verses] of the perspicuous (clear and precise) Book’. **~Qur’an 12:1 (Yusuf Ali)**

‘For We had certainly sent unto them a Book [the Qur’an], based on knowledge, which We explained in detail – a guide and a mercy to all who believe’. **~ Qur’an 7:52 (Yusuf Ali)**

[NOTE: Allah is alleged to have orally transmitted the Qur’an to Muhammad, yet the verse above seems like a strange thing to orally dictate to Muhammad partway through transmitting the Qur’an. Firstly, it wasn’t a book at the time, and secondly, it hadn’t yet been “explained in detail”, as the Qur’an has 114 chapters and this is only chapter 7.]

Perfected is the word of your Lord, in the way of rulings and appointed terms, in truthfulness and justice (sidqan wa-‘adlan is for specification); none can change His words, either by contravening [His rulings] or evading [His appointed terms]. He is the Hearing, of what is said, the Knowing, of what is done. **~Qur’an 6:115(Tafsīr Jalālayn)**

I could labour the point by offering various commentaries on the issue of the Qur’an’s all-inclusive and ever-applicable status, but I think it is fair to say that most Muslims believe that the “all-knowing” and “wise creator of the universe” wouldn’t waste a drop of ink in a book that is intended to be a complete manual for life on earth.

<u>Second Limb – The Sunnah of Muhammad & Hadith (Secondary Pillar of Islam)</u>

The reason I’ve made this the second limb is because a fundamental doctrine of Islam pertains to the perfection of Muhammad. He was the perfect Muslim, who is to be admired and emulated.

‘Ye have indeed in the Messenger of Allah a beautiful pattern [of conduct] for anyone whose hope is in Allah and the Final Day, and who engages much in the Praise of Allah’. **~Qur’an 33:21 (Yusuf Ali)**

The Sahih (reliable) al-Bukhari recounts a story of Muhammad raping a 17-year-old Jewish girl on the very night that his Muslim mercenaries slaughtered her fiancé and her family. From the

the very night that his Muslim mercenaries slaughtered her fiancé and her family. From the Bukhari we read:

"When he entered the town, he said, 'Allahu Akbar! Khaibar is ruined…We conquered Khaibar, took the captives, and the booty was collected. Dihya came and said, 'O Allah's Prophet! Give me a slave girl from the captives.' The Prophet said, 'Go and take any slave girl.' He took Safiya bint Huyai. A man came to the Prophet and said, 'O Allah's Apostles! You gave Safiya bint Huyai to Dihya and she is the chief mistress of the tribes of Quraidha and An−Nadir and she befits none but you.' So the Prophet said, 'Bring him along with her.' So Dihya came with her and when the Prophet saw her, he said to Dihya, 'Take any slave girl other than her from the captives.' Anas added: The Prophet then manumitted her and married her."' **~Sahih al-Bukhari 1:367**

There are numerous other examples from the Sunnah and various hadith that highlight the flippant manner in which Muslim men would rape female captives of war and slave girls, however, given that this example contains within it not only an example of the perfect Muslim raping a 17-year-old girl but also the wonton passing around of slave girls, I think this one will suffice.

Third Limb – Islamic History and/or Islamic Jurisprudence.

For the majority of Islamic history, rape has been a neglected aspect of Islamic jurisprudence. Regarding marital rape, Kecia Ali of Harvard University says:

'For all, marital rape is an oxymoron; rape (ightisab) is a property crime that by definition cannot be committed by the husband'. [2]

Given both the Quranic justification for rape and Muhammad's reported conduct in this regard, it isn't surprising that there were many instances throughout Islamic history in which female captives were raped and forced into prostitution.
Refuting Henry Bayman's attempt to assert that Muhammad never raped slave girls, religious scholar Bernadette Brooten remarks:

'Nonetheless, to accept his characterization – as with the narrator Hassan's comments on the Sahih Muslim – requires one to either ignore the Islamic legal tradition's permission for slave-concubinage and the hadith evidence showing that the Prophet…had sex with female captives and slaves…'[3]

Once again, there are a plethora of examples one could cite from throughout the history of the Muslim conquests to the tomes of Islamic jurisprudence written along the way, but given that much of this is near-common knowledge, I'll leave it here.

Test Outcome

If we apply this test to the claim that al-Baghdadi's rape of Syrian female captives has nothing to do with Islam, we see that such a claim falls flat on each and every limb of this test. Thus, it is clear from the evidence that when ISIS rapes female captives, they do so with the express consent of the doctrines and traditional practices of their religion.

Try applying this test to other crimes committed by ISIS.

Suggestions:

- Severing the hands of thieves
- Driving non-Muslims out of Muslim lands
- Looting
- Killing apostates
- Murdering Homosexuals
- Murdering infidels and Muslims believed to be 'hypocrites' (not "real" Muslims/Shiite Muslims)
- Beheading
- Destroying non-Muslim monuments and other valuable historical artefacts

For a more detailed comparison between ISIS' behaviour and some of the core doctrines of Islam, read this piece – ISIS Is Islam

***Qualification: This test in no way impeaches the character of the majority of Muslims who do not draw inspiration from the more insidious doctrines of Islam. It merely establishes the erroneous nature of the claim that certain Islamic atrocities are not the result of a legitimate application of the doctrines and practices of Islam.**

Notes: [1] [2] [3]

Quranic Science Lesson



The scientific marvels of the Quran are the envy of today's leading scientists. Time and time again it proves beyond doubt it is the basis of all modern science, Allah is the all wise and knowing one true God, and Mohammed his motorcycle messenger.

No sooner had LIGO announced their discovery, did our very own Jihadist Joe reveal Islamic Scholars were already hard at work finding passages in the Quran that predate LIGO's discovery by centuries. And as if by magic they came up with this beauty… Allan Ackbar!!!

Swimming In Space By The Gravitational Waves - Mentioned In The Noble Quran

Allah Almighty's Divine Claims in the Noble Quran:

The Noble Quran is filled with scientific statements and notions. These are statements of Allah Almighty describing how He created things on earth and in the Universe. What's most amazing is that all of these scientific statements and notions had been proven to be in perfect agreement with science and our modern-day scientific discoveries.

Allah Almighty Said: "*We will soon show them Our signs in the Universe and inside their selves, until it will become quite clear to them that it is the truth. Is it not sufficient as regards your Lord that He is a witness over all things?* (The Noble Quran, 41:53)"

With this said, let us look at what Allah Almighty Said in the Noble Quran: "*It is He Who created the Night and the Day, and the sun and the moon: all (the celestial bodies) swim along, each in its rounded course.* (The Noble Quran, 21:33)". "*It is not permitted to the Sun to catch up the Moon, nor can the Night outstrip the Day: Each (just) swims along in (its own) orbit (according to Law).* (The Noble Quran, 36:40)"

As we clearly see in these Noble Verses, Allah Almighty precisely chose "swim" to describe the movement of all of the celestial bodies in the Universe. Obviously, there wouldn't be any swimming if there wasn't a sea-like space up there.

So what is this sea-like space? Is it filled with water?

Obviously, air and space, to man, today and 1,500 years ago, and in all ages had always been something that feels empty. It is not water and the Noble Quran doesn't say that it is water either. The swimming in space is rather done by what scientists today call "**Gravitational Waves**". There are literally gravitational waves up there in space as we have waves in our seas, and all celestial bodies are swimming in these waves.

Let us grieve at what twitter has become. A stalking ground for the sanctimoniously self-righteous who love to second-guess, to leap to conclusions and be offended – worse, to be offended on behalf of others they do not even know... Full statement

Twitter Running 19% Faster After Stephen Fry Quits

Twitter chiefs have confirmed that the social media site is running 19% faster since Stephen Fry has quit the service.

Fry closed his Twitter account after many followers took offence to a joke he made about a BAFTA costume design winner looking like a "bag lady". He went on a tirade against political correctness gone mad and then shut down his account.

Whilst Twitter is built on interaction between celebrities and fans, this may be one celebrity that the social media giant is happy to lose as Stephen Fry's constant tweeting put such a massive strain on their servers that the site is running 19% faster since he has left and not one "fail whale" has been sighted by the user base.

'Stephen was really vital to the popularity of Twitter in its early days. He's something of a national treasure in England and a lot of people signed up just to follow him. Now though he's become a bit of a moany old sod and he was tweeting so constantly that it was making our servers overheat. You'd have thought he'd have something better to do. I'm a bit worried about what he's going to do with all his spare time now, truth be told,' said Jack Dorsey, CEO of Twitter.

Fry fans need not worry though as Stephen has been spotted wandering around London with a stack of postcards, a pen and some sticky tape. He's been writing his thoughts on the postcards and sticking them wherever he feels they'll get most eye traffic.

5 Ways The Regressive Left Is Wrong About Atheism

By Godless Mom

Well-traveled, well-read extreme left atheist mother, wife and writer.

@godless_mom godlessmom.com patreon/godlessmom

The "regressive left" was originally coined by Maajid Nawaz to describe a certain subset of liberals who, in an effort to appear tolerant and culturally sensitive, try to shut down any criticism of Islam or Islamism by calling it "Islamophobia" or racism. The term has expanded over time to include those who push for trigger warnings, safe spaces, who promote the idea that we are living in some sort of rape culture and who see cultural diversity as a great thing until you shove a California roll in your cake slot, then it's just cultural appropriation.

Some regressives are atheists as well, however, they appear to be self-loathing atheists who wouldn't stand up for their own rights if they were tied up and force-fed Jesus wafers by Joel Osteen himself. They seem to think us atheists are a nasty bunch, themselves included, and I think this comes from a good many misconceptions they have about atheists and atheism itself. Here, I would like to address five:

One: They think atheism is a "movement". It's about as much of a movement as the moon landing was faked, and if you take off your tinfoil hats I'll explain it a little better. You see, I was born into an atheist family. I was raised without religion, never believed in God for a day in my life. I was an atheist when I was 2, 4, 9, 11 years old. I was part of a movement? My son is seven and does not believe in God. What role does he play in the movement? What about all the atheists who remain hidden and keep their disbelief a secret? What part do they contribute to the movement? The vast majority of atheists are quiet about it, going about their lives right in front of you without you so much as whiffing a suspicion that they might be godless. How is that a movement? What are the goals of a movement in which the majority of its members are quiet about it?

Two: Atheists do nothing to promote diversity amongst atheists. I was reading a Salon article this morning (yes, I should have known better than to do any such thing) and they asserted that: The atheist movement's roots in mostly white, mostly male, mostly upper-class people show all too clearly in its tendency to parade the same faces over and over.

Meanwhile, on that very article:

Of all the people you could have paraded around as the featured image on that article, you chose Dawkins. You criticize the atheist movement of "not taking diversity and inclusion more seriously" while perpetuating the very problem you assert is true. You could have chosen prominent, smiley atheist Hemant Mehta (who is just about as recognizable to us atheists as Dawkins). You could have chosen outspoken podcaster, Lalo Dagach. You could have used a photo of Maryam Namazie, Sarah Haider, Gad Saad, Stephanie Guttormson, Ayaan Hirsi Ali, or even me. Instead, you chose an old white guy. Don't get me wrong, I love Dawkins' work and I take no issue with his face being associated with atheism, but you used his image in an article criticizing the use of such images. I think the problem here, is that regressive

regressive rags like Salon push this old, white guy image of atheism and then tell us it's a problem. It's not actually. If you ventured out of your own echo chamber, you'd see that the diversity in loud atheist voices is immense, and that you're the only ones pushing this Dawkinsian image of us. We celebrate our diversity. We love our Middle Eastern ex-Muslims, our southern black voices, our women, our Nigerian humanists, our friendly ex-Jains… we love them all as much as we love our wise, white biologists, neuroscientists, physicists and philosophers.

Three: Atheists are misogynists. Of course we are. We promote the early childhood sexualization of girls by telling them to cover up lest their prepubescent bodies provoke a man to molest them. We push the idea that women's bodies are vulgar by telling them to maintain modest dress. We try to silence and discredit the voices of women who speak out against female genital mutilation. We love to silence the criticism of cultures in which women are nothing but glorified cattle with inviting wet holes. We especially like to shut down the outspoken ex-muslim women who have left their religion behind to do things like educate themselves, teach others, and hold office. We certainly do not lift them up and try to get their voices heard more. Nope. Not at all.

We also get these women uninvited from conferences, and side with oppressive, misogynist, religious freaks who rudely speak over these women as they give talks at universities. That's totally us. Wait…

Four: We don't like Muslims. I don't even know what to say to this, but let's start with Star trek, naturally. There was a time I didn't like Star Trek. This was, of course, before I had ever watched it. Clearly I was a closed-minded idiot for portions of my life, but I digress, I didn't like it. I had a lot of friends and even a couple of boyfriends who did, though. Now, my unfounded (and frankly, did, though. Now, my unfounded (and frankly, embarrassing) dislike of Star Trek never got in the way of me loving my friends who did like it. It didn't get in the way of me falling in love with a guy who loved it. It was rarely an issue between us, so long as he didn't try to force it on me and I didn't try to stop him from loving it. It was cool. It was totally cool between me and the trekkies.

Now, replace Star Trek with Islam. You know, with the slight difference being that Star Trek earned my love by being awesome, and Islam, well, I've read and studied and traveled and immersed, and there ain't nothin' gonna make me think it's okay. Ever.

But I still love the people who follow it. In fact, my biggest concern about Islam, is for those who follow it, because they suffer the most from its shortfalls. I'd venture to say that this is the case for most atheists who express a concern for the issues that arise from Islam.

Five: We have no tolerance for religious people at all. While I could easily parade out my religious followers, guest posters, friends and family who respect me here, I won't. What I will do is explain what I don't and won't tolerate. When someone's unfounded belief affects negatively and unconsentually the life of another, it is intolerable. I couldn't care less what people believe in their personal lives, so long as those beliefs, while they are not demonstrably true, do not affect policy in any way, shape or form, nor do those beliefs inform actions which harm other people. As the old saying goes, your right to swing your fist stops at my face (or the face of anyone else). If you keep your swinging fists away from everyone's faces, we don't need to discuss it any longer. Swing away, my whimsical friend.

If the regressive left is correct, we're racists. We hate women. We can't stand religious people. Most importantly, each and every one of us is an old, white man. If this is all true, then my tits are a lie and the only method of redemption is to put down that appropriated hummus, you vile shitlord, and go adopt a therapy hamster, now.

Except it's not true. None of it is true, and the only thing these regressives are accomplishing by pushing this fallacious bullshit, is making themselves look petty, uneducated and willfully ignorant.

Videos of the week

Pat Condell

We Want The Truth

Paul Joseph Watson

Twitter Recruits Crazed Feminist to Police Free Speech

Paul Joseph Watson

7 Things Feminists Need To Understand

SyeTenAtheist

Join Islam

Bill Clinton Upset That Young Women Are Turning To Bernie

Bill Clinton has admitted that he is upset and disappointed that so many young female voters are turning towards Bernie Sanders.

'I just don't understand what's going on,' Bill said. 'You'd think women would want to stick together and vote for my Hillary, but it doesn't seem to be working out that way. And I thought my raw sex appeal would bring in the female vote too but – I don't know.

'It's really strange that all these attractive young women are turning to Bernie Sanders. He looks like the grumpy grandpa who shouts at you to get off his lawn. I'm getting on in years myself but I'm more of the elderly neighbor who offers you a drink on a hot summer's day and then you wake up sore, sticky and confused the next morning. A white Cosby, if you will.'

It's not just Bill Clinton who's confused either. Other higher-ups in the party are also shocked that the Billary ticket isn't appealing to young female voters. One anonymous member told us: 'It's a big surprise, it really is. It's well known that Bill has spent the last eight years "polling" the female voters in preparation for his wife's next run. It's just not working out for them though.'

Privately, Bill has told several friends that he is strongly considering switching his allegiance to the Sanders campaign. As one of his friends reported: 'Bill's only ever been into politics for the ladies and he goes where they go. He'll catch hell from Hillary but he knows how to weather that storm.'

Hillary is putting a brave face on things but sources from inside her campaign team have been spreading rumors that she's starting to realize that no-one really likes or trusts her. Because of that she is considering switching to the Republican Party.

The Death of Antonin Scalia

Scalia was a staunch defender of the Constitution, the right to bear arms, free speech and freedom of religion. All the things Obama hates.

Very convenient for Obama that he "died of natural causes" or "a heart attack" or "a pillow over his face" just before the end of his disastrous tenure as POTUS, allowing him to appoint a replacement who hates all the things Obama hates…

His seemingly timely death and the events immediately following his death leaves many unanswered questions that have given rise to all sorts of theories and accusations, beautifully summarised by Paul Joseph Watson in this YouTube video.



UNTIL A VILLAGE IN KENYA GETS ITS IDIOT BACK

LAUGHING STOCKS

JEREMY CORBYN



"Jeremy Corbyn Ate My Hamster!" Claims Former PM Tony Blair

Former Prime Minister Tony Blair has claimed that Jeremy Corbyn once ate his hamster during an informal Labour Party get-together.

Jeremy Corbyn, the current leader of the Labour Party, is alleged to have eaten Blair's hamster after Labour's win in the 1997 general election.

"Jeremy was invited to the party, as were all of our MPs at the time. He's always been somewhat of a strange character and, over the course of the evening, I noticed him gradually distancing himself from the festivities," Tony Blair told the Daily Mail in an exclusive interview.

"He's never been very popular within the Labour Party so I just assumed he was being shunned as usual. However I saw him slip into a side room where we kept our pet hamster, Maggie, and, when he returned, he had a small amount of brown fur caught in his beard.

"When the party was over I went to check on Maggie and she was gone. Cherie and I searched the house but she was nowhere to be found. I have no definitive proof but I'm 99% sure that Jeremy Corbyn ate my hamster."

Due to the lack of evidence, Jeremy Corbyn escaped punishment from the Labour Party, however, the whirling dervish of such rumours that surround him have made Labour terrified of Corbyn being elected into a position of power.

Lord John Prescott once claimed that Jeremy Corbyn ate his last Rolo, however, despite being the Deputy Prime Minister of the time, no-one took him seriously.

change.org

PETITION

Ban people from setting up petitions on Change.org to ban things they disagree with

Social Justice Warriors just love setting up and participating in online petitions to get things banned, get people sacked or generally get their own way, because they are intolerant insufferable assholes who mistake their fascist leanings as moral superiority and virtue.

So just to piss them off lets try and get this petition going viral. The very of existence of such a petition will have them frothing like demented animals.

SIGN HERE

change.org/petions/banSJWs

LAUGHING STOCKS

DAVID CAMERON



France: Criticize Islam and Live under Police Protection

By Giulio Meotti

Giulio Meotti is an Italian journalist and author.

israelnationalnews.com

GATESTONE INSTITUTE

"After a few moments of fear, I thought that if there are these threats it is because my fight foiled the plans of the Muslim Brothers by bringing them to light. I decided not to give up." — Laurence Marchand-Taillade, National Secretary of the Parti Radical de Gauche (Radical Party of the Left).

The author Éric Zemmour lives under police protection. Two policemen follow him wherever he goes -- including to court, where Muslim organizations tried to defame him and his work by accusing him of "Islamophobia," to silence him.

In France, hunting season is still open for critics of Islam.

"You are sentenced to death. It's just a matter of time." This message, in Arabic, was sent by Islamists to Laurence Marchand-Taillade, National Secretary of the Parti Radical de Gauche (Radical Party of the Left). She now lives under the protection of the French police.

Marchand-Taillade forced the Muslim Brotherhood to withdraw, under pressure from France's Interior Ministry, its invitation of three Islamic fundamentalists to a conference in Lille. The Islamists in question were the Syrian Mohamed Rateb al Nabulsi, the Moroccan Abouzaid al Mokrie and the Saudi Abdullah Salah Sana'an, who deem that the penalty for homosexuality is death, that the international coalition against the Islamic State is "infidel," that Jews "destroy the nations" and that only religious music is permitted.

Laurence Marchand-Taillade published an article in Le Figaro in which she called for the ban of these Islamists with their "anti-Semitic and pro-jihadist message."

In the magazine Marianne, Marchand-Taillade then penned, along with the French-Algerian journalist Mohamed Sifaoui, an article calling for the resignation of the leaders of the Observatory of Secularism.

"I am the president of an association that supports secularism in the Val-d'Oise" said Marchand-Taillade to me in an interview,

"and for years, I observed unreasonable sacrifices and compromises from the National Observatory of Secularism, which has encouraged radical communitarianism by participating to forums such as 'We Are United,' with the rapper Médine, who has called for the 'crucifixion of the secularists,' the 'Collective against Islamophobia' and Nabil Ennasri, a Muslim Brother from Qatar. The president of the Observatory of Secularism, Jean Louis Bianco, gave credit to these Salafist organizations at war with our values.

"Since the first months of 2014, I started also to report to the authorities of the arrival of imams such as Nader Abou Anas, who justifies marital rape, and Hatim Abu Abdillah, who promises a 'cruel punishment' for women. Then I went to Lille, on February 6 and 7, where Tariq Ramadan and others were indoctrinating our youths" Since then, her life has not been the same.

How did she react to the death sentence?

"After a few moments of fear, I thought that if there are these threats it is because my fight foiled the plans of the Muslim Brothers by bringing them to light. I decided not to give up. Islamists began a long process of infiltrating all sectors of civil society. The concept is based on the written doctrines of Hassan al-Banna, the grandfather of [Tariq] Ramadan. Their flag has two swords and the Koran; indoctrination and violence are the methods to gain power. France is a country chosen for several reasons: it has a large population from North Africa; it is a secular country in which you can use the freedoms of

conscience. We cannot allow entire portions of the French population to fall in the trap of hating the country where they are born and, above all, which considers them part of the nation. It is choice of civilization, while there is an attempt to destroy two centuries of progress for humanity."

What happened to Marchand-Taillade -- the 24-hour a day police protection she needs because she exercised her constitutional right to freedom of expression -- tells us a lot about France, where dozens of academics, intellectuals, novelists and journalists now have to live under police protection just because of their criticism of Islam.

It is not only politicians such as Marine Le Pen and Samia Ghali, the mayor of Marseille, and not only judges such as Albert Lévy, who has conducted investigations on Islamic fundamentalists.

The most famous is Michel Houellebecq, author of the novel Submission, who lives under the protection of the gendarmerie since he published his last novel. There is also haute protection (" high protection") for Éric Zemmour, the author of Le Suicide Français. Two policemen follow him wherever he goes -- including to court, where Muslim organizations tried to defame him and his work by accusing him of "Islamophobia," to silence him.

French politician Laurence Marchand-Taillade (left) lives under police protection after receiving a death threat from Islamists. French author Éric Zemmour also lives under police protection. Two policemen follow him wherever he goes -- including to court, where Muslim organizations tried to defame him and his work by accusing him of "Islamophobia," to silence him.

Charlie Hebdo's director, "Riss," and the remaining cartoonists live under police protection, and their new offices are in an undisclosed location. My friend Robert Redeker, a professor of philosophy condemned to death in 2006 by Islamists for an article he wrote in Le Figaro, still lives like a fugitive, as if he is a political prisoner in his own country. His conferences and courses have been canceled, his house sold, his father's funeral celebrated in secrecy, and his daughter's wedding organized by the police.

Mohammed Sifaoui, who lived undercover in a French cell of al Qaeda and has written a shocking book, Combattre le terrorisme islamiste ("Combat Islamist Terrorism") also lives under police protection. His photo and name appear on jihadi websites next to the word murtad ("apostate").

The French philosopher and essayist, Michel Onfray, just withdrew the planned publication of an essay critical of Islam, He claims that "no debate is possible" in the country after the November 13 attacks in Paris (his book has just been published in my country, Italy).

Frédéric Haziza, a radio journalist and author for the magazine Le Canard Enchaîné, has been the target of threats from Islamists, and is under protection, as is Philippe Val, the former director of Charlie Hebdo and France Inter, who decided to publish the Mohammed cartoons in 2006. The Franco-Algerian journalist Zineb Rhazaoui is always surrounded by six policemen, as is the brave imam Hassen Chalgoumi, who is protected as if he were a head of state.

In Britain, the 1989 fatwa against Salman Rushdie eliminated any doubt among scholars and journalists whether it was appropriate or not to criticize Islam. In the Netherlands, it was enough to shoot dead Theo Van Gogh for having made a film, Submission, about a woman abused in a forced marriage. Dutch MP Geert Wilders had to debate wearing bulletproof vests and Ayaan Hirsi Ali, who wrote Submission's script, fled the country and found a refuge in the U.S. In Sweden, that artist Lars Vilks now lives like a shadow. In Denmark, the headquarters of the Jyllands Posten newspaper, which published the original Mohammed cartoons, has a barbed wire fence two meters high and one kilometer long. It has become like a U.S. embassy in the Middle East.

In France, hunting season is still open for critics of Islam, even after the decimation of Charlie Hebdo's brave artists. But for how long?

PEGIDA MARYAM

PROJECT FEAR

Anita's World

change.org

PETITION

Here at Vive Charlie we wholeheartedly support Skip Licker in his petition to make the Moon a safe space. Please sign this very important petition.

Prime Minister of the United Kingdom and Leader of the Conservative Party David Cameron MP.

Please turn the Moon into a safe space, so we can fire whiny crybaby students and SJW crywank throbbers into it. Then drink beer.

SIGN HERE

change.org/skiplicker/petition

We'll never know what really happened to Maddie but her parents should accept their share of the blame and let her go

By Katie Hopkins

Columnist @MailOnline. LBC Radio Host. CBB Runner Up.
Gained & lost 3.5 stones proving fat people are lazy. Founder of @fatclub10000

@KTHopkins katiehopkins.co.uk

I have never been allowed to say this before.

I've been given all sorts of reasons — people who are normally brutally honest with me fobbing me off, arguing I am not bringing anything new to the debate. It's been a white-out, like the silence of snow, but seeing the faces of Gerry and Kate McCann yet again this week, promoting the Child Rescue Alert Campaign to track down missing kids, I think it's finally time to speak out.

Kate McCann says she lives in a never-ending limbo, but I believe the truth is that Madeleine McCann is never coming home. She is long gone. It is time to stop looking and stop imagining there is some happy ending to this sorry tale. Enough.

There is no amount of money the will right the wrongs of the past, no libel action that will cancel out the damage the McCanns inflicted on themselves.

Kate and Gerry McCann didn't deserve £11million of our cash to look for Maddie or try to resolve their consciences or salvage reputations. Others have greater need.

If you really must blame someone, then Kate and Gerry are right there in front of you. And yet, protected by some invisible force-shield I don't understand.

Show me a family from a council estate who left their child alone to go out eating and drinking who have been lauded with such support and the protection of the state. Last year, a father of a two year old was arrested and prosecuted after leaving his daughter in a car for two minutes whilst he ran in to a chemist to buy Calpol.

Even our British broadcaster was in on the act. A Crimewatch Special in 2013 featuring new photo-fits of Maddie's abductor failed to acknowledge that the McCanns had been sitting on these pictures for nearly five years.

Madeleine McCann disappeared from a holiday apartment in Praia da Luz, Portugal, in May 2007

acknowledge that the McCanns had been sitting on these pictures for nearly five years. Pictures compiled by their own investigation team whose report they later hid from view when it pointed the finger of blame in a direction Gerry didn't enjoy.

They left their child in an unlocked ground-floor apartment next to two busy roads. Too self-assured to hire a babysitter and too self-centred to care. The McCanns put their own children in harm's way.

Those kids were in danger, because of their parents. And as a mum I can't look at Gerry McCann — a man his wife says can 'switch off' from grief — without the hairs on my arms standing on end. Kate was no better. There were 48 police questions Kate McCann refused to answer after Maddie was gone. Surely if you wanted to find your child you would give anything, tell police everything you knew, offer anything you had? We are not the police. We cannot pretend to know what really went on.

What happened that night will remain a mystery and someone will take the truth to their grave, but we can understand as parents how we would feel if it happened to us. Any mother who has lost her child even for a heartbeat understands how horrifying it is. The prickle under the armpit, the sudden silence in a noisy shopping centre, the blind panic. Running through treacle while time stands still. Waiting for a little face to show itself, telling her off when she reappears because you love her so much you can't bear for her to be lost even for a second. And in that second you imagined the very worst.

When your first baby is born you join a special group of people – a group whose lives have been transformed.

That first morning in hospital you understand the enormity of your new responsibility to keep another little part of you alive. You have accepted The Fear. Suddenly the life you knew before is transformed, filled with all the bad things that could happen to your baby. Jabs which go wrong. Death in a cot for no reason. Spots on the chest which don't go away under glass. You live every second with The Fear that your baby will be taken from you, or die before you and upset the natural order of life turning everything you trusted into a lie.

I still live in dread of my children's lives being shortened, that someone might take them from me, strip away the thing I would happily hand over my own life to sustain. I tell them to shout fire if someone grabs them. And I will never need them to get in a strangers car, no matter what they say. Others give their children phones, hoping these will keep them safe, imagining them to be protection from predators roaming our streets looking for baby prey.

Mine sleep with the soft toy bunnies they have had since the day they were born.

Not so cuddly now, mostly rags at best, but rags which I will keep if I live to watch my children grow old. They are keepsakes of another time, when my kids were chubby and twitchy in their cots, when they needed me more fundamentally. For warmth and food, cuddles for tears and encouragement to be brave. And when they leave me for families of their own, for every day I wished I didn't have to do the school run, there will be a thousand more when I am grateful that I did, knowing I kept them safe, but now the faces I associate with neglect are being used to promote the Child Rescue Alert campaign. And I am sorry, but I am not buying it!

Because nothing in this story reads well to the mum in me. Or the dad if that's you. Leaving your babies alone, too far away to see. Knowing your daughter is gone and still able to play tennis. Taking her little bed-time toy, Cuddle Cat, with the last smell of their daughter, and putting it in the wash just five days after she vanished into the night. I speak to people who have lost parents and cannot bear to wipe messages from their answer machine because it's something to hold on to. They keep them just to feel close. Some even call their mothers' phone, just to hear it ring and imagine she might there to say 'sleep tight' one last time. I would put Cuddle Cat under my pillow every night to be close to the baby I lost. Not wash its memories away.

The night before she became a memory, Maddie asked her mother, 'Why did you not come when Sean and I cried last night? I'd ask her the same question now. How did you leave the daughter you longed to have?

Maddie wasn't lost because someone took her. She was lost because she was left to be found.

The Portuguese Police questioning Kate McCann:

1. On May 3 2007, around 22:00, when you entered the apartment, what did you see? What did you do? Where did you look? What did you touch?
2. Did you search inside the bedroom wardrobe?
3. (shown 2 photographs of her bedroom wardrobe) Can you describe its contents?
4. Why had the curtain behind the sofa in front of the side window (whose photo was shown to her) been tampered with? Did somebody go behind that sofa?
5. How long did your search of the apartment take after you detected your daughter Madeleine's disappearance?
6. Why did you say from the start that Madeleine had been abducted?
7. Assuming Madeleine had been abducted, why did you leave the twins home alone to go to the 'Tapas' and raise the alarm? Because the supposed abductor could still be in the apartment.
8. Why didn't you ask the twins, at that moment, what had happened to their sister or why didn't you ask them later on?
9. When you raised the alarm at the 'Tapas' what exactly did you say and what were your exact words?
10. What happened after you raised the alarm in the 'Tapas'?
11. Why did you go and warn your friends instead of shouting from the verandah?
12. Who contacted the authorities?
13. Who took place in the searches?
14. Did anyone outside of the group learn of Madeleine's disappearance in those following minutes?
15. Did any neighbour offer you help after the disappearance?
16. What does 'we let her down' mean?
17. Did Jane tell you that night that she'd seen a man with a child?
18. How were the authorities contacted and which police force was alerted?
19. During the searches, with the police already there, where did you search for Maddie, how and in what way?
20. Why did the twins not wake up during that search or when they were taken upstairs?
21. Who did you phone after the occurrence?
22. Did you call Sky News?
23. Did you know the danger of calling the media, because it could influence the abductor?
24. Did you ask for a priest?
25. By what means did you divulge Madeleine's features, by photographs or by any other means?
26. Is it true that during the searches you remained seated on Maddie's bed without moving?
27. What was your behaviour that night?
28. Did you manage to sleep?
29. Before travelling to Portugal did you make any comment about a foreboding or a bad feeling?
30. What was Madeleine's behaviour like?
31. Did Maddie suffer from any illness or take any medication?
32. What was Madeleine's relationship like with her brother and sister?
33. What was Madeleine's relationship like with her brother and sister, friends and school mates?
34. As for your professional life, in how many and which hospitals have you worked?
35. What is your medical specialty?
36. Have you ever done shift work in any emergency services or other services?
37. Did you work every day?
38. At a certain point you stopped working, why?
39. Are the twins difficult to get to sleep? Are they restless and does that cause you uneasiness?
40. Is it true that sometimes you despaired with your children's behaviour and that left you feeling very uneasy?
41. Is it true that in England you even considered handing over Madeleine's custody to a relative?
42. In England, did you medicate your children? What type of medication?
43. In the case files you were shown canine forensic testing films, where you can see them marking due to detection of the scent of human corpse and blood traces, also human, and only human, as well as all the comments of the technician in charge of them. After watching and after the marking of the scent of corpse in your bedroom beside the wardrobe and behind the sofa, pushed up against the sofa wall, did you say you couldn't explain any more than you already had?
44. When the sniffer dog also marked human blood behind the sofa, did you say you couldn't explain any more than you already had?
45. When the sniffer dog marked the scent of corpse coming from the vehicle you hired a month after the disappearance, did you say you couldn't explain any more than you already had?
46. When human blood was marked in the boot of the vehicle, did you say you couldn't explain any more than you already had?
47. When confronted with the results of Maddie's DNA, whose analysis was carried out in a British laboratory, collected from behind the sofa and the boot of the vehicle, did you say you couldn't explain any more than you already had?
48. Did you have any responsibility or intervention in your daughter's disappearance?
49. Are you aware that in not answering the questions you are jeopardising the investigation, which seeks to discover what happened to your daughter?

Q.1.
NO COMMENT
Q.2.
I WON'T ANSWER
Q.3.
NO COMMENT
Q.4
NO COMMENT
Q.5.
NO COMMENT
Q.6
NO COMMENT
Q.7
NO COMMENT
Q.8.
NO COMMENT
Q.9.
NO COMMENT
Q.10.
NO COMMENT
Q.11.
NO COMMENT
Q.12.
NO COMMENT
Q.13.
NO COMMENT
Q.14.
NO COMMENT
Q.15.
NO COMMENT
Q.16.
NO COMMENT
Q.17.
NO COMMENT
Q.18.
NO COMMENT
Q.19.
NO COMMENT
Q.20.
NO COMMENT
Q.21.
NO COMMENT
Q.22.
NO COMMENT
Q.23.
NO COMMENT
Q.24.
NO COMMENT
Q.25.
NO COMMENT
Q.26.
NO COMMENT
Q.27.
NO COMMENT
Q.28.
NO COMMENT
Q.29.
NO COMMENT
Q.30.
NO COMMENT
Q.31.
NO COMMENT
Q.32.
NO COMMENT
Q.33.
NO COMMENT
Q.34.
NO COMMENT
Q.35.
NO COMMENT
Q.36.
NO COMMENT
Q.37.
NO COMMENT
Q.38.
NO COMMENT
Q.39.
NO COMMENT
Q.40.
NO COMMENT
Q.41.
NO COMMENT
Q.42.
NO COMMENT
Q.43.
NO COMMENT
Q.44.
NO COMMENT
Q.45.
NO COMMENT
Q.46.
NO COMMENT
Q.47.
NO COMMENT
Q.48.
NO COMMENT
Q.49.
Yes, if that's what the investigation thinks

THE POLITICIANS

THE POLITICIANS

WHAT THE CAMPUS CRYBULLY WARS ARE REALLY ABOUT

Daniel Greenfield, a Shillman Journalism Fellow at the David Horowitz Freedom Center, is a New York writer focusing on radical Islam.

@Sultanknish sultanknish.blogspot.com

FRONTPAGE

The campus wars aren't really about race. Race and the rest of the identity politics roster are the engine for transforming an academic environment into an activist environment.

The average campus already skews left, but it maintains the pretense of serving an educational purpose. The demands put forward on various campuses begin with racial privileges, but do not end there. These demands call for politicizing every department, the mandatory political indoctrination of all students and faculty, and the submission of non-political academic departments to activist political ones.

The campus wars are a declaration that activist non-academic departments that offer identity politics analysis while contributing nothing and which often owe their existence to campus clashes from a previous generation, should dominate all areas of life and thought at every university.

Imagine if physics majors rioted and demanded that every single area of study on campus had to incorporate theoretical physics and hire physics majors. That is exactly what is happening with identity politics studies. It's a naked power grab that has the potential to redefine academia as we know it.

While black students are the public face of the campaign, behind them are embedded faculty radicals like Melissa Click whose abuses recently led to her firing from the University of Missouri. Click's body of work, gender, race and sexuality analyses of popular culture, is fairly typical of the activist faculty behind the power grab. Media studies is often confused with journalism, but the two have little in common. Media studies has become a guide to politicizing culture by viewing it through the intersectional lens.

Click's husband, Richard Callahan, who also took part in the harassment, is a religion professor on paper, but in practice offers class analysis of religious practices. These resumes are fairly typical of the faculty activists behind the crybully insurgency. They may belong to anthropology, sociology, religious studies or a dozen other departments, but all they ever do is overlay their political filter over a given field.

They're not academics; they're activists with a mandate to impose their filter on everyone.

When we talk about political correctness, it isn't just about banning certain jokes. That's the smallest part of it. Political correctness is about making the political filter, the left's lens, mandatory for all.

The campus wars are dividing universities between academic departments and activist departments. The activist demands call for embedding activism deeper into the structure of universities with more activist deans, departments and professors dedicated to their agenda. Funding is diverted from education to activism. Activist curriculums become mandatory to recruit more student activists.

Student activists demand exemptions from their studies for activism and academic bankruptcy that will allow them to erase entire semesters from their records. Academics take a backseat to activism. The purpose of the institution ceases to be education. Instead the university exists to manufacture more activists to make more demands, first of the university, and then of everyone else.

The only possible outcome of giving into these terroristic demands is the escalation of the conflict as activist departments use their new resources to expand the scope of their pressure tactics. In the timeless struggle for academic resources between faculty and departments, student activism is a nuclear weapon. And the endgame of this struggle is the triumph of activism over academics and ignorance over knowledge.

The crybully targets in this latest round of campus wars have been university presidents and student leaders. Baseless claims of an unsafe environment are used to leverage leadership changes that either bring activist allies to power or new leaders that are terrified enough to give in to activist demands.

Even the rise of BDS has been embraced by non-Muslim social justice activists as a means of forcing out Jewish and even non-Jewish student leaders. Allegations of Zionism were used by Students for Justice in Palestine co-founder Hatem Bazian to block Jewish student leaders back in his student days. That tactic has been back in his student days. That tactic has been revived on California campuses as one more political offense to be exploited by activists.

The multiplication of petty political offenses is an Orwellian tactic for enforcing the activist agenda.

None of this is really about the imaginary hate crimes or offensive Halloween costumes. The activists invent pretexts for activism. And they will always find something that makes them feel "unsafe" and traumatized even if they have to invent it. The high pitch of their hysteria usually makes up for whatever logical and factual deficiencies there are to be found in their latest claims of victimhood.

The crybully demands are not the final campus endgame, but they do offer us a disturbing preview of it. Their ideal campus is a political organization, not an educational one, whose primary mission is indoctrinating students to view all matters through a race, class, gender, sexuality lens for the purpose of political activism. It's not just the Western canon being eradicated, but learning as we understand it.

The activist complex doesn't believe in education, but in a constant process of reeducation in which an evolving left adopts new positions, purges dissenters and reeducates the public to the new position. It does not believe in facts, historic, moral or scientific, but cultural perspectives. It does not draw the line at cultural perspectives in media studies, but insists on a feminist mathematics and rejects what it calls Eurocentric physics. Giving its activists control of universities would unleash Lysenkoism on a grand scale as every area of science would be broken down into perspectives of gender, sexuality and race.

The left claims to love science, but with their victory science as we know it would cease to exist. This is not hypothetical alarmism. For example, the California Polytechnic State University demands call for forcing engineering students to take "anti-racist science and technology" studies. Princeton's Black Justice League warned that, "Learning about marginalized groups, their cultures, and structures of privilege is just as important as any science or quantitative reasoning course."

Game of "dick chicken" to decide Brexit

David Cameron has sensationally announced that the in/out EU referendum has been taken off the table. The decision will now be made by a televised game of "dick chicken" between the Prime Minister and Boris Johnson.

Dick chicken is a game in which two men pull out their penises and then walk towards each other. The first man to back off to avoid penile contact is the loser. It's believed, in this instance, both competitors will also have their in/out preference written in marker pen on their todgers.

'Those Eton boys live for this kind of stuff. It's all they do there really. They play strange little games and grow up to be strange little men,' said Shadow Chancellor John McDonnell.

If David Cameron loses he will probably also be forced to step forced to step down as Conservative leader.

Boris Johnson has already been installed as the early favourite to win both the game of dick chicken and the Conservative leadership, with William Hill offering 5/6 odds of him achieving both.

'Boris is a bit of a clueless, bumbling oaf. I half expect him to stumble forward and for Cameron to reel back. That would be considered enough to win,' said William Hill.

This could very well be the first televised

Conclusive Proof Angela Merkel is better than Donald

DONALD AND THE SNAKE

ANGELA AND THE SNAKE

World War III

World War III



Support Vive Charlie via Patreon

Vive Charlie is free to all and is only possible through the generous support of our readers, who contribute to our running costs by making pledges via Patreon.

Vive Charlie is run by a small but dedicated team of volunteers who give their time freely in support of freedom of expression, which as we all know is under attack from all sides. Not only do lunatics machine-gun people to death for being offended, but now social media platforms like Facebook and Twitter are actively censoring and banning people for exercising their rights to freedom of expression. This makes Vive Charlie even more important going forward, and to ensure our survival we need to support of all our readers and would ask you to consider pledging just $1 a month via Patreon.

Pledging your support via Patreon is easy, anonymous and can be cancelled at any time, so if you value freedom of expression and want to help keep Vive Charlie running then click Patreon logo below and make your pledge today.

For just a dollar a month you can support Vive Charlie


Issue 01
January 27th 2015
Vive Charlie!
Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo
CHARLIE HEBDO
TOUT EST PARDONNÉ
JE SUIS CHARLIE


Issue 02
February 3rd 2015
Vive Charlie!
Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo
Disrespectful whore! Fancy not covering up her lunchbox


Issue 03
February 10th 2015
Vive Charlie!
Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo
QURAN
SHARIA LAW


Issue 04
February 17th 2015
Vive Charlie!
Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo
SLAIN
IN HIDING
CABU
RUSHDIE
TIGNOUS
NOERGAARD
VAN GOG
VILKS
CHARB
WESTERGAARD
KOISYKAFIR
ATTENTION
YOU ARE NOW LEAVING A CONTROLLED ZONE


Issue 05
February 24th 2015
Vive Charlie!
RADICAL ISLAM
Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo
CHRISTIAN
JEWS
MUSLIM
WHAT EVER


Issue 06
March 3rd 2015
Vive Charlie!
Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo
6
Chicken
Lamb
Beef
BEWARE!


Issue 07
March 10th 2015
Vive Charlie!
Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo


Issue 08
Vive Charlie!
Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo
HEY BAT-BOOBS! CAN YOU TRY AND LOOK A LITTLE MORE DEFIANT? THE MISOGYNY-VICTIM-CHIC THING DOESN'T FIT WITH OUR FEMINIST IMAGE.


Issue 09
April 1st 2015
Vive Charlie!
Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo
DEAR WHITE GAY MEN: STOP APPROPRIATING BLACK WOMEN.
CROSS-DRESSING AND DRAG ARE BANNED DUE TO THE OFFENSE IT COULD CAUSE TRANS WOMEN.
PLEASE TRY NOT TO WHOOP! JAZZ HANDS WORK JUST AS WELL!
NUS CONFERENCE


Issue 10
April 14th 2015
Vive Charlie!
Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo
GARISSA
GARISSA
ISLAM WOZ ERE


Issue 11
April 23rd 2015
Vive Charlie!
Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo
MOSUL
ISIS SLAUGHTERHOUSE
SCHOOL BUS
1515


Issue 12
May 1st 2015
Vive Charlie!
Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo
PLEASE SIR, MAY I HAVE SOME MORE?
POLLING
Votes
WILL SUCK 4VOTES


Issue 13
May 9th 2015
Vive Charlie!
Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo


Issue 14
May 20th 2015
Vive Charlie!
Weekly Online Satire Magazine
in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo
FOR THOSE WE ARE ABOUT TO OFFEND...
...WE SALUTE YOU!


Issue 15
June 2nd 2015
Vive Charlie!
Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo


Issue 16
June 18th 2015
Vive Charlie!
Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo
NO NAZIS ALLOWED
PRIDE IN LONDON
UKIP
UKIP


Issue 17
July 3rd 2015
Vive Charlie!
Online Satirical Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo
GREXIT


Issue 18
July 17th 2015
Vive Charlie!
Online Satirical Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo
NO, I DREW IT!
WHO DREW THIS?!
I DREW IT
IT WASN'T ME!


Issue 19
August 10th 2015
Vive Charlie!
Online Satirical Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo


Issue 20
August 31st 2015
Vive Charlie!
Online Satirical Magazine Supporting Freedom Of Expression


Issue 21
October 5th 2015
Vive Charlie!
Online Satirical Magazine Supporting Freedom Of Expression

Issue 22
December 15th 2015
Vive Charlie!
Online Satirical Magazine Supporting Freedom Of Expression
#LoneWolf
#FORSYRIA
#YouAin'tNoMuslimBruv
#bloodonCAMERONShands
PLEASE... Help me...
#BLACKlivesMATTER
#Stella2blame


Issue 23
December 28th 2015
Vive Charlie!
Online Satirical Magazine Supporting Freedom Of Expression
XMAS 2020
BANNED

Issue 24
January 27th 2016
Online Satirical Magazine Supporting Freedom Of Expression
HAPPY 1st BIRTHDAY!
Vive Charlie!

Vive Charlie!



Had a hard day beheading infidels? Not sure if video games are haram? Relax with a Quran approved game straight from Mecca - "Rock the Hussy".

Play as a holy man and carry out the holy mission: Stone the immodest girls whilst avoiding harming the good subjugated Muslim women in burkas.
What could be more holy? May your violent God go with you.